# STRUCTURES OF SOME MOENOMYCIN ANTIBIOTICS -**INHIBITORS OF PEPTIDOGLYCAN BIOSYNTHESIS**

Jürgen Scherkenbeck<sup>a</sup>, Aranka Hiltmann<sup>a</sup>, Kurt Hobert<sup>a</sup>, Wassia Bankova<sup>a</sup>, Torsten Siegelsa, Manfred Kaisera, Dietrich Müllera, Hans Jürgen Veithb, Hans-Wolfram Fehlhaberc, Gerhard Seiberte, Astrid Markuse, Michael Limberte, Gerhard Huberc, Dirk Böttgerc, Andreas Starke, Shun Takahashid, Yveline van Heijenoorte, Jean van Heijenoorte, and Peter Welzel\*a

aFakultat fur Chemie der Ruhr-Universitat, Postfach 102148, D-4630 Bochum (Germany) bInstitut für Organische Chemie der Technischen Hochschule Darmstadt Petersenstr 22, D-6100 Darmstadt (Germany) <sup>c</sup>Hoechst AG, Postfach 800320, D-6230 Frankfurt 80 (Germany) dSankyo Co, Ltd., Fermentation Research Laboratories. 2-58. Hıromachı 1-chome, Shınagawa-ku, Tokyo (Japan) eBiochimie Moléculaire et cellulaire, Université Paris-Sud, Orsay (France)

(Received in Germany 8 January 1993)

Abstract - Isolation, structural assignment, and antibiotic efficiency of the new moenomycin antibiotics  $C_3$ (1b) and  $C_4$  (1c) is decribed. The previously published structure of pholipomycin (1d) is modified

## Introduction

The family of moenomycin-type antibiotics includes the components of the flavomycin<sup>R</sup> complex, the prasinomycins, diumycins (marcarbomycins), 11837 R P , 8036 R.P (quebemecin), 19402 R P , ensachomycin, prenomycin, teichomycin, and pholipomycin <sup>1</sup> They are very efficient inhibitors of the bacterial cell wall peptidoglycan biosynthesis (in vivo activity mainly against gram-positive bacteria) Work by van Heijenoort and coworkers has demonstrated that moenomycin A interacts with the enzyme(s) that catalyses the formation of the linear peptidoglycan strands from a membrane disaccharide phospholipid intermediate 2 Structurally, the moenomycin-type antibiotics are rather complex Until now, only the structure of moenomycin A (1a) seems to be firmly established 3 Practically nothing is known on the biosynthesis of 1a and related antibiotics. We reasoned that from the structures of further members of the family at least some hints might be gained on how the rather complicated array of building blocks is assembled in the course of the biosynthesis Even in the days of highly developed tools, the structure elucidation of these compounds is by no means simple X-ray analysis cannot be used, since (at the best of our knowledge) none of the moenomycin antibiotics has ever been obtained crystalline More importantly, <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy is usually of litte help In most instances very broad peaks are observed, probably the result of the well-known association of these compounds in aqueous solution<sup>1</sup> and of other time-dependent phenomena.

In the present paper we describe the structure elucidation of the new flavomycin<sup>R</sup> component moenomycin  $C_3$  and propose a structure for moenomycin  $C_4$  Included is some work on pholipomycin. The structure of this antibiotic was previously elucidated, relying on the moenomycin A work 4 In view of the recent









modification of the moenomycin A structure<sup>3</sup> (unit F, see formula **1a**, is derived from the amide of moenuromc **acid rather than from the free acid, as ongmally assumed) It seemed probable that the structure**  of pholipomycm also needed some modification

#### Isolation of Moenomycins C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub>

TLC plates of the trade product flavomycin<sup>R</sup> (solvent system 1-propanol - 2N NH<sub>3</sub> 70 30) show two spots for moenomycm A **(la) and the** less polar moenomycm C (ratto 3:1).5 Reversed-phase HPLC has now demonstrated moenomycin C to be a mixture of several components Careful chromatographic separation of this mixture has led to the isolation of two new antibiotics which were named moenomycin  $C_3$  and  $C_4$ (according to their retention times<sup>6</sup>).

## Spectral Properties of Moenomycin C<sub>3</sub>

A careful **analysis** of the posittve **ion** FAB mass spectra **of moenomycm** A **(la) and dcgmdahon** products derived thereof has revealed that all structurally relevant fragments can be assigned as summarized in formula 1. Cleavage of the glycosidic bonds of the pyranose units C, E, and F gives rise to the formation of cations  $c+, e+, f+,$  stabilized by the respective pyranose oxygens Cleavage of either phosphonc acid diester bond yields the protonized phosphonc monoesters [M-f+2H] + and  $[g+2H]$ <sup>+</sup>, respectively.<sup>3</sup> Application of these rules to moenomycin  $C_3$  clearly indicated its structure to differ from that of moenomycin A by the absence of both the glucose umt D and the OH group at C-6 of the ammo sugar motety E, see formula **lb**  The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of moenomycin  $C_3$  was very informative showing the presence of the moenocinol unit I, the chromophore part A, the carbamoyl group, four sugar umts (we11 separated anomenc carbon signals), two of them being 2-N-acetylamino-2-deoxy sugars (C-2 signals at  $\beta = 56$  2 and 57.2), and the  $31P,13C$  coupling in the vicinity of the phosphate group. The assignments are collected in the Experimental

## Chemical Degradation of Moenomycin  $C_3$  (1b)

Treatment of moenomycin  $C_3$  with trifluoroacetic acid (5h at 60 $^{\circ}$ C), a method known to cleave the Bglycosidic bonds of  $2\alpha$ -acetylamino-2-deoxy sugars rather specifically,<sup>7</sup> followed by (1) trapping the mtermedtate oxaxohmum ion with methanol, (ii) acetylatton, and (in) chromatograpluc **separahons** furmshed **2 along wrath a 3 2 mixture** (iH NMR) of 3 and 4, from which pure 3 was obtamed by crystalhxatton. The structure of these compounds was ngorously established by comparison with the products that were obtained when moenomycin A (1a) was submitted to the same conditions 8

Hydrogenation of 1b in 33 1 methanol-acetic acid (Pt catalyst)<sup>9</sup> provided decahydromoenomycin C<sub>3</sub> (5b) in 95% yield Cleavage of 5b under rather vrgorous condmons wrth **tnfiuoroacehc acid** (16.5 h at 60°C), followed by ester formation wtth 1 5% HCI in methanol, and **separations** provided the known compound 610 in 47% overall yield This part of the work proves, that moenomycin  $C_3$  contains units A-B-C and H-I

Sb was then submmed to the stepwise degradahon developed for **Sa 9 Thus, oxidation** of Sb with  $K_3[Fe(CN)_6]$  in 0.37 mol/l  $K_2CO_3$  solution removed unit A selectively to give 7 in 53% yield From 7 the galacturonic acid moiety B was degraded by (i) diol cleavage with  $NaIO<sub>4</sub>$  in 50 % acetic acid containing sodium acetate (5h at  $40^{\circ}$ C), (ii) reversed-phase chromatography, (iii) treatment with N,Ndimethylhydrazine in 2-propanol - 1 mol/l  $H_2SO_4$  (3h at 85 $^{\circ}$ C), and (iv) chromatographic separations to furnish 8 in 54% yield A second degradation cycle in which ammonia was used instead of N,N**dtmethylhydrazme converted 8 mto 9b** (33%)

## Spectroscopic Properties of the Moenomycin C<sub>3</sub> Degradation Products

Positive ion FAB spectra of compóunds 5b, 7, 8, and 9b have been obtained fully in accord with the proposed structures (see Experimental) The <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts for compounds 7, 8 and 9b (see Table 1) were assigned making use of the DEPT sequence and by comparison with known moenomycin A degradation products. The presence of two 6-deoxy sugars in 7 carrying ether substituent at C-4 is indicated by the two low-field signals at  $\delta = 86$  1 and  $\delta = 84$  3 11 In 8 one and in 9b both these signals are absent. This result seems only to be consistent with a  $1\infty$  4 linkage between units C and E. For the first time under carefully selected experimental conditions (solvent CDCl<sub>3</sub>-CD<sub>3</sub>OD-D<sub>2</sub>O 18:11 2 7) informative <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of moenomycin-type compounds have been obtained The results are collected in Table 2. Assignments are based on H/H COSY From the coupling between the ring protons of unit E (see Table 2) gluco configuration,  ${}^4C_1(D)$  conformation and a B-glycosidic linkage to unit F may be deduced.<sup>12</sup> Similarly, J = 8 3 Hz for the coupling between 1-H and 2-H of the quinovosamine unit C in 8 is indicative of a B-glycosidic bond. From  $J_{2,3}$  (F)  $\approx$  10 5 Hz it may be concluded that the moenuronic acid moiety F also adopts the <sup>4</sup>C<sub>1</sub>(D) conformation

7а	8b 9b <sub>b</sub>		assignment	unit	
1734		1731	$\overline{C-1}$	$\bf H$	
172 1	172 2	172 3	$C-6$		
171 1	172 1		NHCOCH3		
170 5			C-6		
1700	1720	172 3	NHCOCH3		
1569	157 2	7	OCONH <sub>2</sub>		
1038			$C-1$	FCBEFBECFEC	
102 1	1017	102 0	$C-1$		
101 5	1017		$C-1$		
938	94 6	94 6	$C-1$		
86 1	858	75 1	$C-4$		
84 3	749		$C-4$		
80 8	77 6	778	$C-2$	$\mathbf H$	
760	767	757	$C-2$		
74 6	734		$C-3$		
74 3			$C-3$		
74 3	74 1	74 3	$C-3$	FCBFFEFEB	
729	724	72 5	$C-4$		
72 5	717	715	$C-5$		
72 1	720	720	$C-5$		
717	716	733	$C-3$		
708			$C-5$		
70 2			$C-2$	B	
698			$C-4$	B	
689	697		$C-5$	$\mathbf C$	
67 5	69 3	69 3	$C-1$	I	
663	660	660	$C-3$	H	
558	553		$C-2$		
55 2	548	55 5	$C-2$		
2	J.	360	$C-2$	$\frac{\mathbf{C}}{\mathbf{E}}$	
24 5	220	220	NHCOCH3		
24 4	21 7	219	NHCOCH <sub>3</sub>	E C E	
2	12 <sub>2</sub>	167	$C-6$		

Table 1 13C NMR spectral data of compounds 7, 8, and 9b ( $\delta$  values)

a in DMSO-d<sub>6</sub> b in CDCl<sub>3</sub>-CD<sub>3</sub>OD-D<sub>2</sub>O 18 11 2 7 + trifluoroacetatic acid (6  $\mu$ l/mL)



# Table 2. 1H NMR spectral data of 8, 9b, and moenomycin A degradation product 9a ( $\delta$  and J values)<sup>a</sup>

ain CDCl<sub>3</sub>-CD<sub>3</sub>OD-D<sub>2</sub>O 18 11 2 7

# Moenomycin C<sub>4</sub>

From the FAB MS (for details, see Experimental) it was obvious that moenomycin  $C_4$  contained one more oxygen than moenomycin  $C_3$  (1b) Fragmentation e (see formula 1) led to an ion that was 16 mass units larger than in the case of 1b wheras fragmentation c was the same as found previously in moenomycin A (1a). Thus the extra oxygen (with respect to 1b) is located in unit E. We propose, therefore, structure 1c for moenomycin C<sub>4</sub>. This structure is well in accord with the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum (see Experimental), but it has not rigorously been proven

# Pholipomycin

As was already mentioned above, in view of the recent structural revision of moenomycin A it seemed very probable that unit F of pholipomycin also contains the amide of moenuronic acid rather than the free uronic acid And indeed, a careful mass determination of the  $M + K$  ion of both pholipomycin and its decahydro derivative has unambigously shown that the molecular mass of pholipomycin is one mass unit less than the structure with moenuronic acid in its free acid form requires Thus, as in the case of all the other moenomycins, pholipomycin has a uronamide unit F and should be represented by formula 1d

# Biological activity of moenomycins  $C_3$  and  $C_4$

The minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) of moenomycins  $C_3$  (1b) and  $C_4$  against various microorganisms have been determined by a serial two-fold agar dilution method (Müller Hinton Agar) In addition, inhibition of the UDP-N-acetylmuramyl pentapeptide-dependent incorporation of [14C]UDP-Nacetylglucosamine into cross-linked high-molecular weight peptidoglycan was studied with a slightly modified<sup>13</sup> version of the assay described by Izaki, Matsuhashi, and Strominger<sup>14</sup>

test organism	1b	lc	la	
Staph aureus SG 511	010	005	0 05	
Strept pyogenes 77	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	
Strept pyogenes 308	25	6 25	25	
Pseud aerug 1771	50	25	100	
Pseud aerug 1771M	156	156	3 13	
E coli DC 2	25	25	50	
Salm typhimurium	100	100	>100	
Klebs aerog 1082E	100	100	>100	
Ent cloacae 1321E	156	0.78	156	

Table 3 Minimal inhibitory concentrations (in  $g/L$ ) of moenomycins  $C_3$  (1b),  $C_4$  (1c), and A (1a, for comparison) against various test organisms

Table 4 Effect of moenomycins  $C_3$  (1b),  $C_4$  (1c), and A (1a, for comparison) on the  $in$ -vitro UDP-N-<br>acetylmuramyl pentapeptide-dependent incorporation of [<sup>14</sup>C]UDP-N-acetylglucosamine into cross-linked high-molecular weight peptidoglycan



Finally, the inhibitory effect of 1b and 1c directly on the transglycosylation reaction was determined by the in vitro assay developed earlier in one of our laboratories<sup>15</sup> which uses a particulate fraction from E.coli and as substrate the lipid intermediate which is the immediate precursor of uncross-linked peptidoglycan. The results are summarized in Tables 3 - 5.

Table 5 Effect of moenomycins A (1a, for comparison),  $C_3$  (1b) and  $C_4$  (1c) on the *in-vitro* formation of peptidoglycan by transglycosylation<sup>a</sup>

final concen- tration $(\mu g/mL)$	% inhibition			
	1b	ıc	18	
10	100	100	100	
	100	100	100	
01	91	86	78	

a Assays were carried out as described previously<sup>15</sup>

#### Discussion

Like moenomycin A  $(1a)$ , the new moenomycins 1b and 1c are *in-vitro* highly active only against grampositive bacteria (see Table 3). As far as their inhibitory effect on the transglycosylation reaction is concerned, 1a, 1b, and 1c possess practically the same inhibitory efficiency (Table 5). This is well in agreement with the previously established structure-activity relations which state, that only units E-F-G-H-I are essential for full transglycosylase inhibiting activity. From the results collected in Table 4 one may arrive at the same conclusion

The structures of the moenomycin antibiotics described above seem to shed some light on biosynthetic events Obviously, first the whole complicated structure is assembled and is subsequently modified. One is tempted to speculate that pholipomycin (1d) is the precursor of the other members of the group which could be formed from 1d by glucosidation and deoxygenations, respectively

# **EXPERIMENTAL**

#### General

O<sub>2</sub>- or moisture-sensitive reactions were performed in oven-dried glassware under a positive pressure of argon Liquids and solutions were transferred by syringe Small-scale reactions were performed in Wheaton serum bottles sealed with aluminium caps with open top and Teflon-faced septum (Aldrich) Solvent evaporations were performed in vacuo at 40°C using a rotatory evaporator, lyophilizations Leybold-Heraeus GT2. Solvents were purified by standard techniques The instrumentation used was <sup>1</sup>H NMR; WP 80 (Bruker), AM 400 (Bruker), <sup>13</sup>C NMR<sup>,</sup> AM 400 (Bruker at 100 6 MHz), EI MS MAT CH5 (Varian), FAB MS (1) MAT 731 (Varian) with a modified Saddle Field Source, (ii) VG AUTOSPEC, (iii), VG Analytical ZAB2-SEQ (BEQQ configuration), LC (preparative gravitational liquid chromatography) silica gel (ICN Biomedicals Silica 63-100), MPLC (medium-pressure liquid chromatography): 40 0 cm  $x$  4.5 cm glass tubes, 50  $\mu$ m silica gel (Amicon), Duramat pump (CfG), Thomachrom UV detector (Reichelt). analytical TLC Merck precoated silica gel 60 F<sub>254</sub> plates (0 2 mm), spots were identified under a UV lamp (Camag 29 200) and by spraying with a 2 22 mol/l  $H_2SO_4$  solution which contained  $Ce(SO_4)_{2}x4H_2O$  (10 g/l) and H<sub>3</sub>[PO<sub>4</sub>(Mo<sub>3</sub>O<sub>9</sub>)<sub>4</sub>]xH<sub>2</sub>O (25 g/l)<sup>16</sup> and heating at 140°C For crude reversed-phase separations polystyrene resin HP-20 (Mitsubishi) was used - Carbon and proton numbering in the subunits (see NMR data) follows the moenomycin nomenclature (see formula 1) Two molecular masses are always communicated, the first refers to 12C, 1H, 16O, 14N, 31P (mono-isotopic masses), the second was calculated using the International Atomic Masses

## Isolation of moenomycins C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub>

Silica gel chromatography (300g SiO<sub>2</sub>, Grace 60-200  $\mu$ m) of moenomycin complex (Flavomycin<sup>R</sup>, 12 0 g) performed as described previously<sup>17</sup> provided moenomycin C complex (1 05 g) and pure moenomycin A (1 87 g) A moenomycin C mixture (1 35 g) was separated by preparative HPLC (Waters prep LC 500, Merck LiChroprep RP-18, 25-40  $\mu$ m, mobile phase methanol-acetonitrile-water 52 8 40, flow rate 25 mL/min) First the column was washed with 1 l of the solvent mixture then 15 mL fractions were taken Fractions  $52-68$  contained moenomycin C<sub>3</sub> (130 mg) and fractions 69-80 (173 mg) moenomycins  $C_3$  (55%) and  $C_4$  (38%) The latter fraction was further purified under the same conditions and furnished pure moenomycin  $C_4$  Analytical HPLC Spherisorb ODS 5  $\mu$ m, solvent system methanol-acetonitrile-0 02% phosphate buffer (pH 7 8) 4 1 5, UV detection at 258 nm

## Moenomycin  $C_3$  (1b)

UV 18  $\lambda$ max (s) in methanol 259 (21000), in methanol + HCl 243 (12000) - 13C NMR ((100 6 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O-CD<sub>3</sub>OD 1 1, DEPT)  $\delta = 16 \cdot 10$  (CH<sub>3</sub>), 16 29 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 17 80 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 17 84 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 17 95 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 23 15 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 23.20 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 23 33 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 23 38 (CH<sub>3</sub>) 23 97 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 25 96 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 27 59 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 27 82 (CH<sub>3</sub>, C-23<sup>1</sup>, C-24<sup>1</sup>), 31 47 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 32 21  $(CH<sub>2</sub>)$ ; 32 60 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 33 40 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 35 89 (C-121), 36 39 (C-81), 40 80 (C-151), 42 77 (C-91), 56 24 (C-2E), 57 19 (C-2C), 67 04 (C-1<sup>1</sup>), 68 63 (C-3<sup>H</sup>), 70 66 (CH), 71 44 (CH), 72 28 (CH), 72 65 (CH), 73 48 (CH), 73 86 (CH), 74 22 (CH), 75 99 (CH), 76 52 (CH), 78 37 (C-2<sup>P</sup>), 80 51 (C-2<sup>H</sup>), 84 60 (C-4<sup>C</sup>), 87 72 (C-4<sup>E</sup>), 95 91 (C-1<sup>F</sup>), 103 42 (Clc), 103 93 (C-lE), 104 70 (C-ln), 109 27 (C-22'), 111 29 (C-2A), 123 09 (C-131). 123 44 (C-21), 125 26 (C-171), 126 83 (C-61), 132 24 (C-181), 137 30 (C-14'), 141 23 (C-3'), 141 45 (C-7'), 151 02 (C-lit), 159 20 (GCONIQ), 159 23, 170 23 (C-6B), 173 82 (C-6F), 173 92, 174 46 (NHCOCH<sub>3</sub>), 174 56 (NHCOCH<sub>3</sub>), 177 05 (C-1<sup>H</sup>), 199 54  $(C-1)$ ,  $C-3$ A) -  $C_{63}H_{98}N_5O_{28}P$  (1403 6, 1404 5), FAB MS (matrix lactic acid) 1480 4 ([M+2K-H]+, 1442 4  $([M+K]^+), 9162 ([f-H+K]^+), 6841 ([e-H+K]^+)$ 

#### Decahydromoenomycin C<sub>3</sub> (5b)

1b (51 2 mg, 36  $\mu$ mol) and PtO<sub>2</sub> (12 8 mg) in 30 1 methanol-acetic acid (5 2 mL) were stirred under hydrogen for 3d at 20 $^{\circ}$ C Progress of the reaction was monitored by HPLC (5  $\mu$ m RP-18, methanol-water-acetonitril (6 3 1), and by TLC (chloroform-methanol-water 18 11 2 7) After filtration, solvent evoparation and lyophilization the reaction product war purified by reversed-phase LC (20 g HP 20, gradient water - methanol) to give pure 5a (49 1 mg), 95 %) - $\delta = 5638 / 5741$  (C-2E and C-2C), 68 52 (C-11), 70 14 (C-3H), 70 70, 71 59, 72 41, 72 75, 73 58, 73 78, 73 98, 74 21, 74 47, 75 92, 76 77, 78 60, 84 74 (C-4<sup>C</sup>), 87 80 (C-4<sup>E</sup>), 96 12 (C-1<sup>P</sup>), 103 55 / 104 11 / 104 80 (C-1<sup>C</sup>, C-1<sup>E</sup>, C-1B), 111 62 (C-2A), 159 16 (OCONH<sub>2</sub>), 170 21 (C-6B), 173 60, 174 20, 174 75 (2xNHCOCH<sub>3</sub>, C-6F, C-1H), 198 92 C-1A, C-3A) - C<sub>63</sub>H<sub>108</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>28</sub>P (1413 7, 1414 5), FAB MS (matrix methanol - nitrobenzylalcohol) 1458 7  $([M+2Na-H]+)$ , 1436 6  $([M+Na]+)$ , 1020 3  $([g+2Na]+)$ , 900 3  $(f+Na-H]+)$ , 668 3  $([e+Na-H]+)$ , 559 4  $([M+Na]+)$ f+Na+H]+), 459 ([c]+)

#### Methyl  $(R)$ -2- $(3,8,8,11,14,18$ -hexamethylnonadecyloxy-3-hydroxypropionate  $(6)$

In a 1 mL sealed vessel a mixture of 5b (49 1 mg,  $34.7 \mu$ mol) and trifluoroacetic acid (TFA, 0 25 mL) was stirred at 60°C for 16 5 h After TFA removal (stream of argon) the dark brown reardue was treated wub 1 5 per cent HCI m methanol at 70°C for 4h After dilution with  $CH_2Cl_2$ , and solvent evaporation the residue was taken up in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (6 mL) Washing with 5 per cent aq NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution (2 x 1 mL), H<sub>2</sub>O (2 x 1 mL), drying, and solvent evaporation, followed by LC (2 5 g SiO<sub>2</sub>, CHCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH 100 1) of the residue (13 4 mg) yielded pure 6 (7 6mg, 46%), identical with a sample obtained from 1a - <sup>1</sup>H NMR (80 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta = 0.80$ -1 60 (signals of unit I), 3 80 (s, OCH<sub>3</sub>),  $382-410$  (2-H<sup>H</sup>, CH<sub>2</sub>-3<sup>H</sup>, CH<sub>2</sub>-1<sup>I</sup>) - C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>58</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (470 4, 470 8), EI-MS 470 (M+), 452 ([M-H<sub>2</sub>O]+), 440  $({[C_{28}H_{56}O_3]}+$ , 411  $({[M-COOCH_3]}+)$ , 349  $({[C_{25}H_{49}]}+)$ , 273  $({[M-C_{14}H_{29}]}+)$ , 239, 238, 169, 121  $({[C_{4}H_{9}O_4]}+)$ 

#### Degradation of 1b with trifluoroacetic acid<sup>19</sup>

a) A mixture of 1b (300 1 mg, 0 21 mmol) and trifluoroacetic acid (1 5 mL) was heated to  $60^{\circ}$ C for 5h in a sealed vessel Most of the trifluoroacetic acid was then removed by blowing argon over the mixture The residue was dissolved in dry methanol and the solution was allowed to stand at  $40^{\circ}$ C for 17 h After solvent evaporation and LC (25 g  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$ , chloroform-methanol-water 18 11 2 7) the fractions containing compounds with Rf values in the range of 0 1 (287 6 mg, TLC chloroform-methanol-water 18 11 2 7) were collected, evaporated to dryness, and the residue was dissolved in 1 1 pyridine-acetic anhydride (6 mL) and left at  $20^{\circ}$ C for 24 h Solvent evaporation, lyophilization, and MPLC (column B, chloroform-methanol-water  $30505$ ) gave a fraction  $(212 \text{ mg})$  which according to the 400 MHz <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum was a mixture of 3 and 4, and a fraction consisting of the more polar compound 2 (27 4 mg) Both fractions were rechromatographed under the same conditions (using column A) 3 and 4 which could not be separated chromatographically but yielded pure  $3(10.4 \text{ mg})$  on crystallization from hexanes-ethyl acetate

b) Moenomycm A (la) was degraded under the same conditions, to gave 2 and 3 whtch were tdenttcai with the samples obtained from 1b

Methyl 4-O-[2,3,4,-tri-O-acetyl-fi-D-galactopyranosyluron-N-(1-hydroxy-3-oxo-1-cyclopenten-2-yl)amidel-(1-4)-2acetamido-3-O-acetvl-2.6-dideoxv-B-D-glucopyranoside (2)

M p. of the sample obtained from moenomycin  $C_3$  (1b) 241-243°C (from hexanes-ethanol), m p of the sample obtained from 1a  $241-243$ °C (from hexanes-ethanol) - For <sup>1</sup>H NMR and mass spectra, see ref  $8a$ ,  $8b$ 

#### $O-2.3$ .4-Trt-O-acetyl-B-D-galactopyranosyluron-N- $(1-hydroxy-3-\alpha xo-1-cyclopenten-2-vl)$ amide  $-(1-4)-2-acetamido-1.3$ di-O-acetvl-2.6-dideoxy-a-D-glucopyranose (3).

M p of the sample obtained from moenomycin  $C_3$  265-267°C (from hexanes-ethanol), m p of the sample obtained from 1a 265-266 °C (from hexanes-ethanol) - For  $1H$  NMR and mass spectra, see ref  $8a$ ,  $8b$ 

#### 2-0-{2-Acetylamino-4-O-{2-acetylamino-4-O-((5S)-5-carbamoyl-8-L-arabinopyranosyl)-2.6-dideoxy-ß-D-

 $glucopyranosyl-2.6-dideoxy-6-D-glucoovtransyl-3-O-carbamovl-1-O- $\{f(S)-2-carboxv-2-(3.8.8.11.14.18-hexamethyl$$ nonadecyloxy)-ethoxyl-hydroxyphosphoryl}-4-C-methyl-a-D-glucopyranuronamide (7).

5b (362 3 mg, 0 26 mmol) was degraded with  $K_3[Fe(CN)_6]$  (841 2 mg, 2 49 mmol) essentially as described in ref 9 for 5a MPLC (2 x column B, chloroform-methanol-water 18 11:2 7) gave pure 7 (179 0 mg, 53 %) - <sup>13</sup>C NMR (62 9 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, DEPT)  $\delta = 24$  4 (NHCOCH<sub>3</sub>), 24 5 (NHCOCH<sub>3</sub>), 55 2 (C-2<sup>E</sup>), 55 8 (C-2<sup>C</sup>), 66 3 (C-3<sup>H</sup>), 67 5 (C-11), 68 9 (C-5C), 69 8 (C-4B), 70 2 (C-2B), 70 8 (C-5B), 71 7 (C-3E), 72 1 (C-5F), 72 5 (C-5E), 72 9 (C-4F), 74 3 (C-3n, C-39.74 6 (C-3C), 76 0 (C-29, 80 8 (C-2n), 84 3 (C4C), 86 1 (C\_4e), 93 8 (C-19, 101 5 (C-lo), 102 1 (C-1E), 103 8 (C-1B), 156 9 (OCONH<sub>2</sub>), 170 0 (NHCOCH<sub>3</sub>), 170 5 (C-6B), 171 1 (NHCOCH<sub>3</sub>), 172 1 (C-6F), 173 4  $(C-1H)$  -  $C_{58}H_{104}N_5O_{26}P$  (1317 7, 1318 5), FAB MS (glycerol) 1385 ([M+3Na-2H]+), 1379 ([M+Na+K-H]+), 1363 ([M+2Na-HI+), 1341 ([M+Na]+), 1319 ([M+H]+), 804 ([f+Na-HI+), 597 ([M-f+Na+K]+), 581 ([M $f+2Na$ ]+), 572 ([e+Na-H]+), 559 ([M-f+Na+H]+)

2-0-{2-Acetylamuno-4-O-{2-acetylamuno-2.6-dideoxy-ß-D-glucopyranosyl}-2.6-dideoxy-ß-D-glucopyranosyl}-3-Ocarbamovl-1-O-{{(S)-2-carboxy-2-(3.8.8.11.14.18-hexamethyl-nonadecyloxy)-ethoxyl-hydroxyphosphoryl}-4-C $methyl-\alpha-D-glucopy random and 8$ .

7 (189 8 mg, 0 14 mmol) was degraded with (i) NaIO<sub>4</sub> and then with dimethylhydrazine exactly as described in ref<sup>9</sup> Two chromatographic separations a) LC  $(8 \text{ g } SiO<sub>2</sub>)$ , chloroform-methanol-water 10 6 1), b) MPLC (column B, the same solvent system) gave pure 8 (89 7 mg, 54 %) -  $C_{52}H_{95}N_4O_{21}P$  (1142 6, 1143 3), FAB MS (TEA) 1204  $((M+Na+K-H)^+)$ , 1188  $((M+2Na-H)^+)$ , 1182  $((M+K)^+)$ , 1166  $((M+Na)^+)$ , 1144  $((M+H)^+)$ , 629  $((f+Na-H)^+)$ , 597 ([M-f+Na+K]+), 581 [M-f+2Na]+), 559 ([M-f+Na+H]+), 397 ([e+Na-HI+), 375 ([e]+)

## 2-O-(2-Acetylamino-2.6-dideoxy-fi-D-glucopranosyl)-3-O-carbamoyl-1-O-{{(S)-2-carboxy-2-(3.8.8.11.14.18-

hexamethyl-nonadecyloxy)-ethoxyl-hydroxyphosphoryl}-4-C-methyl- $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranuronamide (9b)

8 (89 0 mg, 77.9  $\mu$ mol) was degraded with (i) NaIO<sub>4</sub>, (ii) 25 per cent aqueous NH<sub>3</sub> exactly as described in ref<sup>9</sup> MPLC (column A, chloroform-methanol-water 18 11 2 7) provided pure 9a (24 9 mg, 33 %).- C44H $_{82}N_{3}O_{17}P$ (955 5, 956 1). FAB MS (matru mtrobenxylakohol) 1022 5 (IM+3Na-2Hl+), loo0 6 ([M+2Na-HI+), 581 (M $f+2Na$ ]+), 559 3 ([M-f+Na+H]+), 442 2 ([f+Na-H]+).

## Moenomycin C<sub>4</sub> (1c)

UV  $\lambda$ max (s) in methanol 259 (21000), in methanol + HCl 243 nm (12000) - <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 6 MHz,CD<sub>3</sub>OD at 50°C, DEPT)  $\delta = 16$  16 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 16 39 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 17 76 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 18 15 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 23 20 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 23 34 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 23 93 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 25 84 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 27 74 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 27 89 (CH<sub>3</sub>, C-23<sup>†</sup>, C-24<sup>†</sup>), 31 68 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 32 37 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 32 59 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 33 53 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 35 97 (C-121), 36 42 (C-81), 40 79 (C-151), 42 94 (C-91), 56 20 (C-2<sup>e</sup>), 57 49 (C-2<sup>c</sup>), 61 06 (C-6<sup>c</sup>), 67 07 (C-11), 68 28 (C-3H), 70 78 (CH), 71 86 (CH), 72 40 (CH), 72 78 (CH). 73 82 (CH), 74 08 (CH), 74 52 (CH), 76 14 (CH), 76 78 (CH), 78 11 (CH), 79 56 (C-2F), 81 55 (C-2<sup>H</sup>), 84.93 (C-4<sup>C</sup>), 95 91 (C-1<sup>P</sup>), 103.14 (C-1<sup>C</sup>), 104 38 (C-1<sup>E</sup>), 104 82 (C-1B), 109 24 (C-221), 111 55 (C-2A), 123 15 (C-131), 123 49 (C-21), 125.38 (C-171), 126 86 (C-61), 132.17 (C-181), 137 33 (C-141), 141 62 (C-31, C-71), 151 15 (C-111), 159 50 (OCONH<sub>2</sub>), 169 85 (C-6B), 173 80 (C-6F), 174 34 (2xNHCOCH<sub>3</sub>), 177 62 (C-1<sup>H</sup>), 192 44 (C-1<sup>A</sup>, C-3<sup>A</sup>). C<sub>63</sub>H<sub>98</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>29</sub>P (1419 6, 1420 5), FAB MS (matrux· lactic acid) 14% 3 ([M+ZK-H+). 1458.4 ([M+K]+), 932 1 ([f-H+K]+), 700 1 Qe-H+K]+). 603 1 ([M-f+2K]+), 565 2  $([M-f+H+K]+)$ , 497 0  $([c-H+K]+)$ , 459 1  $([c]+)$ , 417  $([c-42]+)$ .

# Pholipomycin (1d)

1d was purified by preparative HPLC (10  $\mu$ m RP 18, methanol-acetonitrile-0.02% phosphate buffer (pH 7 8) 10:2:5, followed by LC (HP 20 (17g), elution with water and methanol) The methanol fraction contained 1d.- 13C NMR  $((100 6 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) 8 = 16 12, 16 37, 17.79, 23.11, 23 30, 23 97, 25.95, 27.72, 27 86, 30.44, 30.68, 30.77,$ 31 70, 32 34, 32 70, 33.54, 35.94, 36.46, 40 89, 42 89, 56 24 / 57.22 (C-2E and C-2C), 61 21 / 61.51 (C-6C and C-6<sup>E</sup>), 67.11, 68.92, 70 80, 72.17, 73 59, 74.07, 74.20, 74 33, 74 40, 75.97, 76.03, 76 91, 76.96, 79.13, 79 56, 79 64, 80.92, 81 23, 96.03 (C-1F), 103.32 / 104 15 / 104 49 (C-1<sup>C</sup>, C-1<sup>B</sup>, C-1<sup>B</sup>), 109.24 (C-22l), 111.18 (C-2A), 123 50, 125 36, 126 94, 132 20, 137 33, 140 76, 141 48, 151 08, 159 43 (OCONH<sub>2</sub>), 170 12, 173 80, 174 42, 174.51, 177 70, 200.00 (C-1A, C-3A).- C63H98N5O30P (1435 6, 1436.5), FAB MS (narrow voltage scan, resolution 7300, internal reference: PEG-1540,, matrix, nitrobenzylalkohol) m/z (mean of 3 measurements,  $S + 0.002$ ) = 1474 57 ( $[M + K]$ +, calc 1474 57).-

# Decahydropholipomycin (5d)

To 1d (13 0 mg) and PtO<sub>2</sub> (4 mg) were added methanol (1 5 mL) and acetic acid (49 µl), and the mixture was stirred under H<sub>2</sub> for 135 min. Filtration, solvent evaporation, and preparative HPLC (RP 18, methanol-water-acetonitril 6 2 1) furnished 5d (9 4 mg) -  $C_{63}H_{100}N_5O_{30}P$  (1445 7, 1446 5), FAB MS (conditions as described for 1d) 1484 65  $([M + K]^+,$  calc 1484 65)

Acknowledments - The group at Bochum kindly acknowledges financial support by the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie and the Hoechst AG

# **REFERENCES AND NOTES**

<sup>1</sup>Review: Huber, G in Hahn, F.E (ed.) Anabioacs, vol V/1, p 135-153, Springer, Berlin 1979 2Review: van Heijenoort, J.; van Heijenoort, Y.; Welzel, P in Actor, P ; Daneo-Moore, L., Higgins, M L.; Salton, M.R J.; Shockman, G D. (eds.) Antibiotic Inhibition of Bacterial Cell Wall Surface and

Function, American Society for Microbiology, Washington, 1988, p 549-557.<br>
Tehlhaber, H.-W ; Girg, M., Seibert, G ; Hobert, K ; Weizel, P , van Heijenoort, Y., van Heijenoort, J.

- Tetrahedron, 1990, 46, 1557-1568.
- 4Takahashi, S.;Senta, K., Arai, M., Seto, H.; Furihata, K., Otake, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 499-502.

 $5022$ . The present Streptomyces strains used to manufacture flavomycin<sup>R</sup> are the result of extensive strain selections. For the antibiotic formation of previously studied strains, see Schacht, U.; and Huber, G. J. Antibiot. 1969, 22, 597-602, and references therein

 $\frac{6}{2}$ The structure elucidation of moenomycin C<sub>1</sub> will be reported in a forthcoming publication.

7Welzel, P.; Knupp, G.; Witteler, F.-J ; Schubert, Th ; Duddeck, H ; Müller, D ; Höfle, G. Tetrahedron 1981, 37, 97-104.

Fehlhaber, H.-W.; Blumbach, J.; Huber, G. Tetrahedron 1981, 37, 105-112; b) Weizel, P.; Witteler,

- F.-J.; Hermsdorf, L., Riemer, W. Tetrahedron 1981, 37, 113-118.<br>
9 Welzel, P.; Kunisch, F.; Kruggel, F.; Stein, H.; Scherkenbeck, J.; Hiltmann, A.; Duddeck, H.; Müller, D.; Maggio, J.E.; Fehlhaber, H.-W; Seibert, G.; van Heijenoort, Y; van Heijenoort, J. Tetrahedron 1987, 43, 585-598.
- 10welzel, P.; Wittler, F -J.; Müller, D Tetrahedron 1976, 1665-1668.<br>11cf.ref 8b
- 
- <sup>12</sup>Bock, K.; Thogersen, H., in Annual Reports on NMR Spectroscopy, Vol. 13, p. 1-57, Academic Press, London, 1982.
- 13Schaller, K., Hölge, J.-V; Braun, V J.Bacteriol. 1982, 152, 994-1000.
- <sup>14</sup>Izaki, K.; Matsuhashi, M.; Strominger, J.L. J.Biol.Chem 1968, 243, 3180-3192
- 15van Heijenoort, Y.; Dernen, M.; van Heijenoort, J. FEBS Lett. 1979, 89, 141-144; van Heijenoort, Y.; van Heijenoort, J. FEBS Lett. 1979, 89, 141-144; van Heijenoort, Y.; van Heijenoort, J. FEBS Lett. 1980, 110, 241-244.
- 
- 17Welzel, P.; Wietfeld, B.; Kunisch, F.; Schubert, Th.; Hobert, K.; Duddeck, H.; Müller, D.; Huber, G.,
- Maggio, J.E.; Williams, D.H. Tetrahedron, 1983, 39, 1583-1591<br>18Tschesche, R.; Blumbach, J.; Welzel, P. Liebigs Ann Chem. 1973, 407-418.

 $19Cf.$  ref  $7$